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#### Abstract

Using the discrete logarithm in [7] and [9] a large family of pseudorandom binary sequences was constructed. Here we extend this construction. An interesting feature of this extension is that in certain special cases we get sequences involving points on elliptic curves.
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## 1 Introduction

In a series of papers Mauduit and Sárközy (partly with further coauthors) studied finite pseudorandom binary sequences

$$
E_{N}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\} \in\{-1,+1\}^{N} .
$$
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In particular, in Part I [12] first they introduced the following measures of pseudorandomness:

Write

$$
U\left(E_{N}, t, a, b\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+j b}
$$

and, for $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right)$ with non-negative integers $d_{1}<\cdots<d_{\ell}$,

$$
V\left(E_{N}, M, D\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \ldots e_{n+d_{\ell}} .
$$

Then the well-distribution measure of $E_{N}$ is defined as

$$
W\left(E_{N}\right)=\max _{a, b, t} \mid U\left(E_{N}(t, a, b)\left|=\max _{a, b, t}\right| \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+j b} \mid,\right.
$$

where the maximum is taken over all $a, b, t$ such that $a, b, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq a \leq$ $a+(t-1) b \leq N$, while the correlation measure of order $\ell$ of $E_{N}$ is defined as

$$
C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right)=\max _{M, D}\left|V\left(E_{N}, M, D\right)\right|=\max _{M, D}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \ldots e_{n+d_{\ell}}\right|,
$$

where the maximum is taken over all $D=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right)$ and $M$ such that $1 \leq d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{\ell}<M+d_{\ell} \leq N$.

Then the sequence $E_{N}$ is considered as a "good" pseudorandom sequence if both measures $W\left(E_{N}\right), C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right)$ (at least for small $\ell$ ) are "small" in terms of $N$ (in particular, both are $o(N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Numerous binary sequences have been tested for pseudorandomness by J. Cassaigne, Z. Chen, X. Du, L. Goubin, S. Ferenczi, S. Li, H. Liu, C. Mauduit, L. Mérai, S. Oon, J. Rivat, A. Sárközy, G. Xiao and others. In the best constructions we have $W\left(E_{N}\right) \ll N^{1 / 2}(\log N)^{c}$ and $C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right) \ll N^{1 / 2}(\log N)^{c_{\ell}}$, where $c, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots$ are positive constants. However, most of these constructions produced only a "few" pseudorandom sequences; usually for a fixed
integer $N$, the construction provided only one pseudorandom sequence $E_{N}$ of length $N$. First L. Goubin, C. Mauduit, A. Sárközy [6] succeeded in constructing a large family of pseudorandom binary sequences. Since then numerous other large families of pseudorandom sequences have been constructed (see [7], [8], [9], [10], [11] and [13]). Specially, I generalized the construction of Sárközy [14] in [7], [8] and [9]. Indeed, in [7] and [9] I studied a faster version of [8], this faster construction was the following:

Construction A Let $p$ be an odd prime, $g$ be a primitive root modulo $p$. For $(n, p)=1$ define ind $n$ by

$$
g^{\operatorname{ind} n} \equiv n \quad(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } 1 \leq \text { ind } n \leq p-1 .
$$

Let

$$
m \mid p-1
$$

with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and define ind ${ }^{*} n$ by

$$
\operatorname{ind}^{*} n \equiv \operatorname{ind} n \quad(\bmod m) \quad \text { and } 1 \leq \operatorname{ind}^{*} n \leq m .
$$

Define the sequence $E_{p-1}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p-1}\right\}$ by

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if } 1 \leq \operatorname{ind}^{*} f(n) \leq \frac{m}{2} \\ -1 & \text { if } \frac{m}{2}<\operatorname{ind}^{*} f(n) \leq m \text { or } p \mid f(n)\end{cases}
$$

In [7] and [9] I proved the following:
Theorem A Let $p$ be an odd prime, $m \mid p-1$ and $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $k$, which has no multiple roots. Suppose that $m$ is even. Then

$$
W\left(E_{p-1}\right) \ll k p^{1 / 2} \log p \log m .
$$

Moreover suppose that at least one of the following 4 conditions holds:
a) $f$ is irreducible;
b) if $f$ has the factorization $f=\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2} \ldots \varphi_{u}$ where $\varphi_{i}$ 's are irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, then there exists a $\beta$ such that exactly one or two $\varphi_{i}$ 's are of degree $\beta$;
c) $\ell=2$;
d) $(4 \ell)^{k}<p$ or $(4 k)^{\ell}<p$.

Then

$$
C_{\ell}\left(E_{p-1}\right)<9 k \ell 4^{\ell} p^{1 / 2}(\log p)^{\ell+1} .
$$

Here we further generalize this construction:

Construction 1 Let $p$ be an odd prime and define $m$ and $\operatorname{ind}^{*} n$ as in Construction $A$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ two disjoint sets such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}=\{1,2,3, \ldots, m\}$, $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}=\emptyset$. Now define the sequence $E_{p-1}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p-1}\right\}$ by

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*} f(n) \in \mathcal{A}  \tag{1}\\ -1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*} f(n) \in \mathcal{B} \text { or } p \mid f(n)\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, in this way we get a large family of pseudorandom sequences. Not only the polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ can be chosen $p^{k}$ ways, but also the sets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ can be chosen many different ways.

However not every sequence in this family has strong pseudorandom properties. For example, if $A$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are of nearly the same cardinality then it is proved that the sequence has poor pseudorandom properties. For $|\mathcal{A}|=|\mathcal{B}|$ we give sufficient conditions for small well-distribution measure and correlation measures.

Theorem 1 Let $p$ be an odd prime, $m \mid p-1$ and $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $k$, which has no multiple roots. Define the sequence $E_{p-1}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p-1}\right\}$ by (1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(E_{p-1}\right)=\frac{\| \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{B}||}{m} p+O\left(k m p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover suppose that at least one of the 4 conditions a), b), c) and d) holds in Theorem A. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}\left(E_{p-1}\right)=\left(\frac{\|\mathcal{A}|-| \mathcal{B}\|}{m}\right)^{\ell} p+O\left(k \ell m^{2 \ell} p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|\mathcal{A}|=|\mathcal{B}|$ and $m$ is small in terms of $p$, then both measures $W\left(E_{p-1}\right)$ and $C_{\ell}\left(E_{p-1}\right)$ are small; they are less than $c p^{1 / 2} \log p$ with a constant $c$ depending only on $k$ and $\ell$.

Recently, several pseudorandom constructions using elliptic curves have been presented (see for example [2], [3], [4], [5]). In most of these constructions the coordinates of multiples of a point $P$ are used. Here we will present another construction which combines Theorem 1 with elliptic curves. Unlike the previous constructions, our new constructions use two elliptic curves.

Corollary 1 Let $p=4 k+1$ be a prime number and $Z$ a quadratic nonresidue modulo $p$. Consider two elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B, \\
& E_{2}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A Z^{2} x+B Z^{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x^{3}+A x+B$ has no multiple roots. For $1 \leq n \leq p-1$ either $E_{1}$ contains a point $P_{n}$ of form $\left(n, y_{n}\right)$ or $E_{2}$ contains a point $Q_{n}$ of form $\left(Z n, y_{n}\right)$. Now
we may define $E_{p-1}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p-1}\right\}$ by

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{y_{n}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{-y_{n}}{p}\right) & \text { if } p \nmid y_{n} \\ 1 & \text { if } p \mid y_{n}\end{cases}
$$

This sequence has strong pseudorandom properties:

$$
W\left(E_{N}\right)=O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log p\right)
$$

and for $(4 \ell)^{3}<p$

$$
C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right)=O\left(16^{\ell} \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) .
$$

The case of primes of form $4 k+3$ is more complicated. Then $\left(\frac{y_{n}}{p}\right)=$ $-\left(\frac{-y_{n}}{p}\right)$, so we must determine which point we use in the construction: $\left(n, y_{n}\right)$ or $\left(n,-y_{n}\right),\left(Z n, y_{n}\right)$ or $\left(Z n,-y_{n}\right)$ ? We also slightly modify the definition of the elements of the sequence.

Corollary 2 Let $p=4 k+3 \geq 7$ be a prime number. Consider two elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B, \\
& E_{2}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A x-B,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x^{3}+A x+B$ has no multiple roots. For $1 \leq n \leq p-1$ either $E_{1}$ contains a point $P_{n}$ of form $\left(n, y_{n}\right)$ where $y_{n}$ is a quadratic residue, or $E_{2}$ contains a point $Q_{n}$ of form $\left(-n, y_{n}\right)$ where $y_{n}$ is a quadratic residue. Let $m \mid p-1$ and if $m$ is odd let $m^{\prime}=m$ and let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be two disjoint sets such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}=\{1,2,3, \ldots, m\}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}=\emptyset$. If $m$ is even let $m^{\prime}=m / 2$ and let
$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be two disjoint sets such that $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}=\{2,4,6, \ldots, m\}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}=\emptyset$. Now we may define $E_{p-1}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p-1}\right\}$ by

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*} y_{n} \in \mathcal{A} \\ -1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*} y_{n} \in \mathcal{B} \text { or } p \mid y_{n}\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(E_{N}\right)=\frac{\|\mathcal{A}|-| \mathcal{B}\|}{m^{\prime}} p+O\left(m p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $(4 \ell)^{3}<p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right)=\left(\frac{\|\mathcal{A}|-| \mathcal{B}\|}{m^{\prime}}\right)^{\ell} p+O\left(\ell m^{\prime 2 \ell} p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $|\mathcal{A}| \approx|\mathcal{B}|$ and for properly chosen $m, E_{p-1}$ has strong pseudorandom properties.

Finally we remark that we may also consider hyperelliptic curves $y^{2}=$ $f(x)$ and $y^{2}=Z f(x)$ in place of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.

We mention that $E_{2}$ is a twist of $E_{1}$ in Corollaries 1 and 2, see [16]. It is well-known that both in Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 we have that for $1 \leq n \leq p-1$ either $E_{1}$ contains a point $P_{n}$ of form $\left(n, y_{n}\right)$ or $E_{2}$ contains a point $Q_{n}$ of form $\left(Z n, y_{n}\right)$ (where in case of Corollary $1 Z$ is the quadratic non-residue, while in case of Corollary $2 Z=-1$ ) see e.g. [16].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of the theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1-3 in [9] and Theorem 1 in [7]. By the formula

$$
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi: \chi^{m}=1} \bar{\chi}^{j}(a) \chi(b)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } m \mid \text { ind } a-\text { ind } b, \\ 0 & \text { if } m \nmid \text { ind } a-\text { ind } b,\end{cases}
$$

we obtain

$$
e_{n}=2 \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{A} \\ \operatorname{ind} f(n) \equiv a \\(\bmod m)}} 1-1=\frac{2}{m} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\chi: \chi^{m}=1} \bar{\chi}(f(n)) \chi\left(g^{a}\right)-1 .
$$

By this and $m=|\mathcal{A}|+|\mathcal{B}|$

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{n} & =\frac{2}{m} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \chi^{m}=1} \bar{\chi}(f(n)) \chi\left(g^{a}\right)+\frac{2|\mathcal{A}|}{m}-1 \\
& =\frac{2}{m} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \chi^{m}=1} \bar{\chi}(f(n)) \chi\left(g^{a}\right)+\frac{|\mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{B}|}{m} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume now that $1 \leq a \leq a+(t-1) b \leq N$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|U\left(E_{p-1}, t, a, b\right)\right| & =\left\lvert\, \frac{|\mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{B}|}{m} t+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{m} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \chi^{m}=1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \bar{\chi}(f(a+i b))\right)\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \chi\left(g^{j}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& =\frac{\| \mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{B}||}{m} t+O(S),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left|\frac{2}{m} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \chi^{m}=1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \bar{\chi}(f(a+i b))\right)\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \chi\left(g^{j}\right)\right)\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=O\left(k m p^{1 / 2}(\log p)\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (2) immediately follows.
We will use the following lemma:

Lemma 1 Suppose that $p$ is a prime, $\chi$ is a non-principal character modulo $p$ of order $d, f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ has $s$ distinct roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$, and it is not the constant multiple of the d-th power of a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let $y$ be a real number with $0<y \leq p$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\left|\sum_{x<n \leq x+y} \chi(f(n))\right|<9 s p^{1 / 2} \log p
$$

## Poof of Lemma 1

This is a trivial consequence of Lemma 1 in [1]. Indeed, there this result is deduced from Weil theorem, see [18].

Since $f$ has no multiple roots, by Lemma 1 we have:

$$
\left|\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \bar{\chi}(f(a+i b))\right| \leq 9 k p^{1 / 2} \log p
$$

and thus by (7) and $|\mathcal{A}| \leq m$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & \leq \frac{18 k p^{1 / 2} \log p}{m} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \chi^{m}=1}\left|\sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \chi^{j}\left(g^{j}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{18 k p^{1 / 2} \log p}{m} m|\mathcal{A}| \leq 18 k m p^{1 / 2} \log p,
\end{aligned}
$$

which was to be proved.
To prove (3), consider any $\mathcal{D}=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right\}$ with non-negative integers $d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{\ell}$ and positive integers $M$ with $M+d_{\ell} \leq p-1$. Let $z=\frac{|\mathcal{A}|-|\mathcal{B}|}{m}$. Then by (6)

$$
e_{n}=z+\frac{2}{m} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \chi^{m}=\chi_{0}} \bar{\chi}(f(n)) \chi\left(g^{a}\right) .
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
& V\left(E_{N}, M, \mathcal{D}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \ldots e_{n+d_{k}} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(z+\frac{2}{m} \sum_{\substack { a \in \mathcal{A} \\
\begin{subarray}{c}{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi^{m}=\chi_{0}{ a \in \mathcal { A } \\
\begin{subarray} { c } { \chi \neq \chi _ { 0 } : \\
\chi ^ { m } = \chi _ { 0 } } }\end{subarray}} \bar{\chi}\left(f\left(n+d_{1}\right)\right) \chi\left(g^{a}\right)\right) \cdots \\
& \left(z+\frac{2}{m} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi^{m}=\chi_{0}}} \bar{\chi}\left(f\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)\right) \chi\left(g^{a}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{M} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \sum_{a_{i_{1}} \in \mathcal{A}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{a_{i_{j}} \in \mathcal{A}\\
}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{1}} \neq \chi_{0} \\
\chi_{i_{1}}^{m}=\chi_{0}}} \cdots \\
& \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{j}}^{m}=\chi_{0}}} \overline{\chi_{i_{1}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \overline{\chi_{i_{j}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right) \chi_{i_{1}}\left(g^{a_{i_{1}}}\right) \ldots \chi_{i_{j}}\left(g^{a_{i_{j}}}\right) \\
& =z^{\ell} M+O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \sum_{a_{i_{1}} \in \mathcal{A}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{a_{i_{j}} \in \mathcal{A} \\
\sum_{\begin{subarray}{c}{\chi_{i} \neq \chi_{0} \\
\chi_{i_{1}}^{m}=\chi_{0}} }}}\end{subarray}} \ldots\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{j}}^{m}=\chi_{0}}} \overline{\chi_{i_{1}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \overline{\chi_{i_{j}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right) \chi_{i_{1}}\left(g^{a_{i_{1}}}\right) \ldots \chi_{i_{j}}\left(g^{a_{i_{j}}}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \sum_{a_{i_{1}} \in \mathcal{A}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{a_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{1}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{1}}^{n}=\chi_{0}}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{j}}^{n}=\chi_{0}}}\right. \\
& \left.\overline{\chi_{i_{1}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \overline{\chi_{i_{j}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right) \chi_{i_{1}}\left(g^{a_{i_{1}}}\right) \ldots \chi_{i_{j}}\left(g^{a_{i_{j}}}\right)\right) \\
& =O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \sum_{a_{i_{1}} \in \mathcal{A}} \cdots \sum_{a_{i_{j}} \in \mathcal{A}} \mid \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i} \neq \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{1}}^{m}=\chi_{0}}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{j}}^{m}=\chi_{0}}}\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{n=1}^{M} \overline{\chi_{i_{1}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \overline{{i_{j}}_{j}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right) \chi_{i_{1}}\left(g^{a_{i_{1}}}\right) \ldots \chi_{i_{j}}\left(g^{a_{i_{j}}}\right) \mid\right) \\
& =O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \sum_{a_{i_{1}} \in \mathcal{A}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{a_{i_{j}} \in \mathcal{A}}} \mid \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{1}} \neq \not \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{1}}^{m}=\chi_{0}}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{j}}^{m}=\chi_{0}}}\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{n=1}^{M} \overline{\chi_{i_{1}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \overline{\chi_{i_{j}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right) \mid\right) \\
& =O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}}|\mathcal{A}|^{j} \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{1}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{1}}^{n}=\chi_{0}}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0} \\
\chi_{i_{j}}^{n}=\chi_{0}}}\right. \\
& \left.\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} \overline{\chi_{i_{1}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \overline{\chi_{i_{j}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\right|\right) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $\chi$ be a modulo $p$ character of order $m$; for simplicity we will choose $\chi$ as the character uniquely defined by $\chi(g)=e\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)$. Let $\chi_{u}=\chi^{\delta_{u}}$ for $u=1,2, \ldots, \ell$, whence by $\chi_{i_{1}} \neq \chi_{0}, \ldots, \chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0}$, we may take

$$
1 \leq \delta_{i_{u}}<m
$$

Thus in (10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} \chi_{1}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \chi_{\ell}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} \chi^{\delta_{i_{1}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right)\right) \ldots \chi^{\delta_{i_{j}}}\left(f\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} \chi\left(f^{\delta_{i_{1}}}\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots f^{\delta_{i_{j}}}\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f^{\delta_{i_{1}}}\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right) \cdots f^{\delta_{i_{j}}}\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)$ is not the constant multiple of a perfect $m$-th power, then this sum can be estimated by Lemma 1, whence

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} \chi\left(f^{\delta_{i_{1}}}\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right) \cdots f^{\delta_{i_{j}}}\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\right| \leq 9 k \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p
$$

Therefore by (9), (10) and the triangle-inequality we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|V\left(E_{N}, M, D\right)\right| & =z^{\ell} M+O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j}|\mathcal{A}|^{j} \sum_{\substack{\left.i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \ell\}}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{1}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{1}}^{m_{1}}=\chi_{0}}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\chi_{i_{j}} \neq \chi_{0}: \\
\chi_{i_{j}}^{n}=\chi_{0}}} 9 k \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p\right. \\
& =z^{\ell} M+O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z^{\ell-j}|\mathcal{A}|^{j}\binom{\ell}{j}(m-1)^{j} k \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p\right) \\
& =z^{\ell} M+O\left((|\mathcal{A}|(m-1)+|z|)^{\ell} k \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =z^{\ell} M+O\left(k \ell m^{2 \ell} p^{1 / 2} \log p\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which proves (8).
It remains to prove that $f^{\delta_{i_{1}}}\left(n+d_{i_{1}}\right) \cdots f^{\delta_{i_{j}}}\left(n+d_{i_{j}}\right)$ is never the constant multiple of a perfect $m$-th power. This follows from Lemma 1 in [7].

## 3 Proof of Corollary 1

First suppose that $\left(\frac{n^{3}+A n+B}{p}\right)=1$. Then $P_{n}$ is a point on $E_{1}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n}^{2} & =n^{3}+A n+B \\
2 \text { ind } y_{n} & \equiv \operatorname{ind}\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right) \quad(\bmod p-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$y_{n}$ is a quadratic residue if and only if ind $y_{n}$ is even. Then 2ind $y_{n}$ is divisible by 4 , so ind $\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right)$ is divisible by 4 . In this case we get

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if } 4 \mid \text { ind }\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right), \\ -1 & \text { if ind }\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right) \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Suppose that $p \mid n^{3}+A n+B$. Then $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are points on $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ and $y_{n}=0$. Then $e_{n}=-1$.

Finally let $\left(\frac{n^{3}+A n+B}{p}\right)=-1$. Then $Q_{n}$ is a point on $E_{2}$ since

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}^{2}=(n Z)^{3}+A Z^{2}(n Z)+B Z^{3}, \\
& y_{n}^{2}=Z^{3}\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Both $Z^{3}$ and $n^{3}+A n+B$ are quadratic non-residue thus (12) has a solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then

$$
\text { 2ind } y_{n} \equiv 3 \text { ind } Z+\operatorname{ind}\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right)
$$

$y_{n}$ is a quadratic residue if and only if 3 ind $Z+$ ind $\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right)$ is divisible by 4 . Thus

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if ind }\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right) \equiv \operatorname{ind} Z \quad(\bmod 4) \\ -1 & \text { if ind }\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right) \equiv 4-\operatorname{ind} Z \quad(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
$$

By choosing $m=4, \mathcal{A}=\left\{\operatorname{ind}^{*} Z, 4\right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\left\{2,4-\operatorname{ind}^{*} Z\right\}$ we get

$$
e_{n}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
+1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*}\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right) \in \mathcal{A} \\
-1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*}\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right) \in \mathcal{B} \text { or } p \mid n^{3}+A n+B
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Thus we may use Theorem 1. It easy to see that condition d) holds, thus we get (4) and (5), which was to be proved.

## 4 Proof of Corollary 2

Since $p$ is a prime of form $4 k+3$, thus $(p+1) / 4$ is an integer. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2} \equiv a \quad(\bmod p) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. By the Tonelli-Shanks algorithm [15], [17] this congruence has two solutions, namely

$$
y= \pm a^{(p+1) / 4}= \pm a^{(3 p-1) / 2} .
$$

For odd $k$ let $\alpha=(p+1) / 4$, for even $k$ let $\alpha=(3 p-1) / 4$. Then $\alpha$ is even and $(\alpha, p-1)=2$. By the Tonelli-Shanks algorithm [15], [17]

$$
y=a^{\alpha}
$$

is a solution of (13) and since $\alpha$ is even, this $y$ is a quadratic residue.
If $n^{3}+A n+B$ is a quadratic residue let

$$
f(n)=n^{3}+A n+B .
$$

Now consider the sequence $E_{p-1}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p-1}\right\}$. By the definition of $e_{n}$
and the previous argument

$$
e_{n}=\left\{\begin{align*}
+1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*}\left(f(n)^{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{A}  \tag{14}\\
-1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*}\left(f(n)^{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{B} \text { or } p \mid n^{3}+A n+B
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Similarly, if $n^{3}+A n+B$ is a quadratic non-residue let

$$
f^{\prime}(n)=-\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right)
$$

(In this case by the definition of $y_{n}$ we have $y_{n}^{2}=(-n)^{3}+A(-n)-B=$ $-\left(n^{3}+A n+B\right)$.) Since $\alpha$ is even $f(n)^{\alpha}=\left(f^{\prime}(n)\right)^{\alpha}$ thus (14) always holds.

Define $r_{s}(a)$ by

$$
r_{s}(a) \equiv a \quad(\bmod s), \quad 1 \leq r_{s}(a) \leq s
$$

If $m$ is odd let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left\{r_{m}\left(\alpha^{-1} a\right): a \in \mathcal{A}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{r_{m}\left(\alpha^{-1} b\right): b \in \mathcal{B}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m$ is even

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{\prime} & =\left\{r_{m / 2}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{a}{2}\right): a \in \mathcal{A}\right\} \\
\mathcal{B}^{\prime} & =\left\{r_{m / 2}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{b}{2}\right): b \in \mathcal{B}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*} f(n) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime} \\ -1 & \text { if } \operatorname{ind}^{*} f(n) \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime} \text { or } p \mid n^{3}+A n+B\end{cases}
$$

which is a special case of Construction 1. By using Theorem 1 d ) we get the statement.

I would like to thank to Professor András Sárközy for the valuable discussions.
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