# Concatenation of Legendre symbol sequences 

Katalin Gyarmati


#### Abstract

In the applications it may occur that our initial pseudorandom binary sequence is not long enough, thus we have to take the concatenation of it with another pseudorandom binary sequences. Here we will consider concatenation of Legendre symbol sequences so that the resulting longer sequence has strong pseudorandom properties.
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## 1 Introduction

In a series of papers Mauduit and Sárközy (partly with further coauthors) studied finite pseudorandom binary sequences

$$
E_{N}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\} \in\{-1,+1\}^{N} .
$$

[^0]In particular, in Part I [9] first they introduced the following measures of pseudorandomness:

Write

$$
U\left(E_{N}, t, a, b\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+j b}
$$

and, for $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right)$ with non-negative integers $d_{1}<\cdots<d_{\ell}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(E_{N}, M, D\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \ldots e_{n+d_{\ell}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the well-distribution measure of $E_{N}$ is defined as

$$
W\left(E_{N}\right)=\max _{a, b, t}\left|U\left(E_{N}, t, a, b\right)\right|=\max _{a, b, t}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} e_{a+j b}\right|,
$$

where the maximum is taken over all $a, b, t$ such that $a, b, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq a \leq$ $a+(t-1) b \leq N$, while the correlation measure of order $\ell$ of $E_{N}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right)=\max _{M, D}\left|V\left(E_{N}, M, D\right)\right|=\max _{M, D}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \ldots e_{n+d_{\ell}}\right|, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the maximum is taken over all $D=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right)$ and $M$ such that $1 \leq d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{\ell}<M+d_{\ell} \leq N$.

Then the sequence $E_{N}$ is considered as a "good" pseudorandom sequence if both measures $W\left(E_{N}\right), C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right)$ (at least for small $\ell$ ) are "small" in terms of $N$ (in particular, both are $o(N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ ).

It was shown in [9] that the Legendre symbol forms a "good" pseudorandom sequence. More exactly, let $p$ be an odd prime, and

$$
N=p-1, e_{n}=\left(\frac{n}{p}\right), E_{N}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}\right\} .
$$

Then by Theorem 1 in [9] we have

$$
W\left(E_{N}\right) \ll p^{1 / 2} \log p \ll N^{1 / 2} \log N
$$

and

$$
C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right) \ll \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p \ll \ell N^{1 / 2} \log N .
$$

Numerous binary sequences have been tested for pseudorandomness by J. Cassaigne, Z. Chen, X. Du, L. Goubin, X. Guozhen, S. Ferenczi, S. Li, H. Liu, C. Mauduit, L. Mérai, S. Oon, J. Rivat, A. Sárközy, G. Xiao, C. Zhixiong and others. In the best constructions we have $W\left(E_{N}\right) \ll N^{1 / 2}(\log N)^{c}$ and $C_{\ell}\left(E_{N}\right) \ll N^{1 / 2}(\log N)^{c_{\ell}}$, where $c, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots$ are positive constants. However, most of these constructions produced only a "few" pseudorandom sequences; usually for a fixed integer $N$, the construction provided only one pseudorandom sequence $E_{N}$ of length $N$. First L. Goubin, C. Mauduit, A. Sárközy [2] succeeded in constructing a large family of pseudorandom binary sequences. Their construction was the following:

Construction 1 Suppose that $p$ is a prime number, and $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is a polynomial with degree $k>0$ and no multiple zero in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathbf{p}}$. Define the binary sequence $E_{p}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}\right\}$ by

$$
e_{n}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{f(n)}{p}\right) & \text { for }(f(n), p)=1  \tag{3}\\ +1 & \text { for } p \mid f(n)\end{cases}
$$

It turns out that under some not too restrictive conditions on $p$ or the degree of the polynomial, the pseudorandom measures of $E_{p}$ are small. Indeed Goubin, Mauduit and Sárközy [2] proved the following.

Theorem A If $p$ is a prime and $f(x)$ is a polynomial as it is described in Construction 1, then for the sequence $E_{p}$ defined by (3) we have

$$
W\left(E_{p}\right)<10 k p^{1 / 2} \log p .
$$

Moreover, assume that for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ one of the following assumptions holds:
(i) $\ell=2$;
(ii) $\ell<p$ and 2 is a primitive root modulo $p$;
(iii) $(4 k)^{\ell}<p$.

Then we also have

$$
C_{\ell}\left(E_{p}\right)<10 k \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p .
$$

Since then numerous other large families of pseudorandom sequences have been constructed (see [3], [4], [5], [7], [8] and [10]).

In this paper first we will give a further condition on the polynomial $f(x)$ which guarantees that the correlation measures are small (so that the somewhat inconvenient assumptions (i)-(iii) can be replaced by another, perhaps, simpler one). It is very easy to generate polynomials which satisfy this condition. Next we will adapt the method of this construction for constructing pseudorandom sequences whose concatenation also possesses strong pseudorandom properties.

Theorem 1 Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime, $R \in \mathbb{N}, f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ is a polynomial which is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left(x^{2}-a_{1}\right)\left(x^{2}-a_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x^{2}-a_{k}\right)(x-1), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq R$, the $a_{i}$ 's are different quadratic non-residues modulo $p$ so that $\left(\frac{a_{i}}{p}\right)=-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. For each of these polynomials $f$, consider the binary sequence $E_{p}=E_{p}(f)$ defined by (3), and let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the family of all binary sequences obtained in this way. Then for any $2 \leq \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and for
all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}\left(E_{p}(f)\right) \ll R \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark We note that a second degree polynomial $x^{2}-a$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ if and only if $a$ is quadratic non-residue modulo $p$.

If $f(x)$ is a polynomial of form (4) then its single zero is $x=1$.
In Theorem 1 we did not estimate the well-distribution measure. For $f \in \mathcal{F}$ by Theorem A trivially we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(E_{p}(f)\right) \ll R p^{1 / 2} \log p . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a natural question why do we not consider polynomials of form $f(x)=\left(x^{2}-a_{1}\right)\left(x^{2}-a_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x^{2}-a_{k}\right)$ ? In this case the sequence $E_{p}(f)$ would be symmetric ( $e_{n}=e_{p-n}$ for $1 \leq n \leq p$ ) which causes difficulties in the applications (see [6]).

Although $\mathcal{F}$ in Theorem A contains many binary sequences with strong pseudorandom properties, it may occur that there are certain relations between them. Let $E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)} \in\{-1,+1\}^{p}$ be binary sequences of length $p$. Let $\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\} \in\{-1,+1\}^{t p}$ denote the sequence of length $t p$ obtained by writing the elements of $E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)} \in$ $\{-1,+1\}^{p}$ successively. Our question is the following: for a fixed sequence $E_{p}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{F}$ how do we choose further sequences $E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the longer sequence $\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\} \in\{-1,+1\}^{t p}$ will have strong pseudorandom properties? It is not true that for any choices of different $E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)} \in \mathcal{F}$ the sequence $\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\} \in$
$\{-1,+1\}^{t p}$ also has strong pseudorandom properties. Consider the following example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{p}^{(1)}=E_{p}((x-1)) \in \mathcal{F} \\
& E_{p}^{(2)}=E_{p}\left(\left(x^{2}-a_{1}\right)(x-1)\right) \in \mathcal{F} \\
& E_{p}^{(3)}=E_{p}\left(\left(x^{2}-a_{2}\right)(x-1)\right) \in \mathcal{F} \\
& E_{p}^{(4)}=E_{p}\left(\left(x^{2}-a_{1}\right)\left(x^{2}-a_{2}\right)(x-1)\right) \in \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $E_{4 p}=\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, E_{p}^{(4)}\right\}$ has week pseudorandom properties. Indeed; the correlation of order 4 is "large":

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{4}\left(E_{4 p}\right) \geq & \left|V\left(E_{4 p}, p,(0, p, 2 p, 3 p)\right)\right| \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{p}\left(\frac{n-1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{\left(n^{2}-a_{1}\right)(n-1)}{p}\right) \\
& \left(\frac{\left(n^{2}-a_{2}\right)(n-1)}{p}\right)\left(\frac{\left(n^{2}-a_{1}\right)\left(n^{2}-a_{2}\right)(n-1)}{p}\right)-1 \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{p}\left(\frac{\left(n^{2}-a_{1}\right)^{2}\left(n^{2}-a_{2}\right)^{2}(n-1)^{4}}{p}\right)-1 \geq p-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we will give a sufficient condition for the sequence $\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\} \in\{-1,+1\}^{t p}$ having strong pseudorandom properties.

Theorem 2 Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime and

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(x) & =\left(x^{2}-a_{11}\right)\left(x^{2}-a_{12}\right) \ldots\left(x^{2}-a_{1 r_{1}}\right)(x-1), \\
f_{2}(x) & =\left(x^{2}-a_{21}\right)\left(x^{2}-a_{22}\right) \ldots\left(x^{2}-a_{2 r_{2}}\right)(x-1), \\
& \vdots  \tag{7}\\
f_{t}(x) & =\left(x^{2}-a_{t 1}\right)\left(x^{2}-a_{t 2}\right) \ldots\left(x^{2}-a_{t r_{t}}\right)(x-1),
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i 1}, a_{i 2}, \ldots, a_{i r_{i}}$ are different quadratic non-residues modulo $p$ for $1 \leq$ $i \leq t$. Moreover suppose that $a_{i 1}=a_{v s}$ if and only if $i=v$ and $1=s$. Let

$$
R=\max _{1 \leq i \leq t} r_{i}+1=\max _{1 \leq i \leq t} \operatorname{deg} f_{i}(x) .
$$

Define $E_{p}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{F}$ by $E_{p}\left(f_{i}\right)$ in (3) with $f_{i}$ in place of $f$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then $E_{t p}=\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\} \in\{-1,+1\}^{t p}$ has strong pseudorandom properties: for any $2 \leq \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& W\left(E_{t p}\right) \ll t R p^{1 / 2} \log p,  \tag{8}\\
& C_{\ell}\left(E_{t p}\right) \ll R \ell t 2^{\ell-1} p^{1 / 2} \log p . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof of Theorem 1

We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 1 Suppose that $p$ is a prime, $\chi$ is a non-principal character modulo $p$ of order $d, f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ has $s$ distinct roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$, and it is not a constant multiple of the d-th power of a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Let $y$ be a real number with $0<y \leq p$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\left|\sum_{x<n \leq x+y} \chi(f(n))\right|<9 s p^{1 / 2} \log p
$$

## Poof of Lemma 1

This is a trivial consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in [1]. Indeed, there this result is deduced from Weil's theorem [11].

For any integers $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{\ell}$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{\ell}<M+d_{\ell} \leq p \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the congruence

$$
f\left(n+d_{i}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p), 1 \leq n<M, 1 \leq i \leq \ell
$$

has at most $\ell$ solutions $\left(n \equiv 1-d_{i}(\bmod p)\right)$. Thus writing $\left(\frac{0}{p}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(E_{p}, M, D\right) & =\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \ldots e_{n+d_{\ell}}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(\frac{f\left(n+d_{1}\right)}{p}\right)\left(\frac{f\left(n+d_{2}\right)}{p}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{f\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}{p}\right)\right|+\ell \\
& =\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(\frac{f\left(n+d_{1}\right) f\left(n+d_{2}\right) \ldots f\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}{p}\right)\right|+\ell
\end{aligned}
$$

Write $h(x)=f\left(x+d_{1}\right) f\left(x+d_{2}\right) \ldots f\left(x+d_{\ell}\right)$. Then $h(x)$ has no multiple roots. Since the irreducible factors
$x+d_{i}-1$ and $x+d_{j}-1$,
$x+d_{i}-1$ and $\left(x+d_{j}\right)^{2}-a_{s}$,
$\left(x+d_{i}\right)^{2}-a_{q}$ and $\left(x+d_{j}\right)^{2}-a_{s}$
are different if $d_{i}$ and $d_{j}$ are different and $a_{q}, a_{s}$ are quadratic non-residues.
Thus we may apply Lemma 1 with $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right), 2$ and $h(x)$ in place of $\chi, d$ and $f(x)$, respectively. The degree of $h(x)$ is clearly less than $R \ell$, thus applying Lemma 1 we obtain

$$
\left|V\left(E_{p}, M, D\right)\right| \leq\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M}\left(\frac{h(n)}{p}\right)\right|+\ell<9 R \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p+\ell \ll R \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p
$$

for all $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{\ell}, M$ satisfying (10) which proves (5).

## Proof of Theorem 2

By the definition of the well-distribution measure, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(E_{t p}\right) \leq W\left(E^{(1)}\right)+W\left(E^{(2)}\right)+\cdots+W\left(E^{(t)}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6) we have $W\left(E^{(i)}\right) \ll R p^{1 / 2} \log p$. Using this and (11) we get (8).
Next we prove (9).

Lemma 2 Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{t} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ be different polynomials. Suppose that for all $1 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{\ell} \leq t, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ (where $b_{s} \neq b_{r}$ if $i_{s}=i_{r}$ ) the polynomial

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{i_{j}}\left(x+b_{j}\right)
$$

is never of the form $c g(x)^{2}$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. Define $E_{p}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{F}$ by $E_{p}\left(f_{i}\right)$ in (3) with $f_{i}$ in place of $f$. Then the correlation measure of $E_{t p}=\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, E_{p}^{(3)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\} \in\{-1,+1\}^{t p}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\ell}\left(E_{t p}\right) \ll R \ell t 2^{\ell-1} p^{1 / 2} \log p . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove Lemma 2 later. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{t}$ be polynomials of the form (7). In order to prove (9), by Lemma 2 it is sufficient to prove that a product of the form

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{i_{j}}\left(x+b_{j}\right)
$$

where $1 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{\ell} \leq t, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $b_{s} \neq b_{r}$ if $i_{s}=i_{r}$, is never of the form $c g(x)^{2}$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. Here
$f_{i_{1}}\left(x+b_{1}\right)=\left(\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1}\right)\left(\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 2}\right) \ldots\left(\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} r_{i_{1}}}\right)(x-1)$.
Consider the multiplicity of the irreducible factor $\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1}$ in the product

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{i_{j}}\left(x+b_{j}\right)
$$

It is clear that $\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1}$ appears once in the factorization of $f_{i_{1}}\left(x+b_{1}\right)$.
Suppose that one of $f_{i_{2}}\left(x+b_{2}\right), f_{i_{3}}\left(x+b_{3}\right), \ldots, f_{i_{\ell}}\left(x+b_{\ell}\right)$ contains $\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1}$
as an irreducible factor. Say, $f_{i_{j}}\left(x+b_{j}\right)(j \geq 2)$ has an irreducible factor, namely, $\left(x+b_{j}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{j} d}$ which is $\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x+b_{j}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{j} d} & =\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1} \\
x^{2}+2 b_{j} x+b_{j}^{2}-a_{i_{j} d} & =x^{2}+2 b_{1} x+b_{1}^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this $b_{1} \equiv b_{j}(\bmod p)$ and $a_{i_{j} d} \equiv a_{i_{1} 1}(\bmod p)$ follows. Since $b_{1} \equiv b_{j}$ $(\bmod p)$ we have $i_{1} \neq i_{j}$. But then $a_{i_{j} d}$ and $a_{i_{1} 1}(\bmod p)$ are different, which is a contradiction.

Thus $\left(x+b_{1}\right)^{2}-a_{i_{1} 1}$ appears once in the factorization of $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{i_{j}}\left(x+b_{j}\right)$. So $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{i_{j}}\left(x+b_{j}\right)$ is never of the form $c g(x)^{2}$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. Thus we may use Lemma 2, which proves Theorem 2. It remains to prove Lemma 2.

Proof of Lemma $2 C_{\ell}\left(\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\}\right)$ is defined as the maximum of $V$ 's, see (2). Let $\left\{E_{p}^{(1)}, E_{p}^{(2)}, \ldots, E_{p}^{(t)}\right\}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{t p}\right\}$. We will prove that for $\mathcal{D}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right)$ with non negative integers $d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{\ell}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \ldots e_{n+d_{\ell}}\right| \leq 10 R \ell t 2^{\ell-1} p^{1 / 2} \log p . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $d_{i}$ and $n$ define $a_{i, n}$ and $y_{i, n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n+d_{i}=\left(y_{i, n}-1\right) p+a_{i, n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq a_{i, n} \leq p$. Then

$$
e_{n+d_{i}}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{f_{y_{i, n}}\left(n+d_{i}\right)}{p}\right) & \text { for }\left(f_{y_{i, n}}\left(n+d_{i}\right), p\right)=1  \tag{15}\\ +1 & \text { for } p \mid f_{y_{i, n}}\left(n+d_{i}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Suppose that we fix any positive integers $j_{1} \leq j_{2} \leq \cdots \leq j_{\ell}$ and we would like to determine the integers $1 \leq n \leq M$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n+d_{1}}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{f_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right)}{p}\right) & \text { for }\left(f_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right), p\right)=1, \\
+1 & \text { for } p \mid f_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right) .\end{cases} \\
& e_{n+d_{2}}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{f_{j_{2}}\left(n+d_{2}\right)}{p}\right) & \text { for }\left(f_{j_{2}}\left(n+d_{2}\right), p\right)=1, \\
+1 & \text { for } p \mid f_{j_{2}}\left(n+d_{2}\right) .\end{cases} \\
& \vdots \\
& e_{n+d_{\ell}}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{f_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}{p}\right) & \text { for }\left(f_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right), p\right)=1, \\
+1 & \text { for } p \mid f_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (14) and (15)

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{1} & =\left[\frac{n+d_{1}-1}{p}\right]+1 \\
j_{2} & =\left[\frac{n+d_{2}-1}{p}\right]+1 \\
& \vdots  \tag{16}\\
j_{\ell} & =\left[\frac{n+d_{\ell}-1}{p}\right]+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $1 \leq j_{i}=\left[\frac{n+d_{i}-1}{p}\right]+1 \leq\left[\frac{t p-1}{p}\right]+1=t$. It is easy to see that the integers $n$ which satisfy (16) form an interval. We will denote this interval by $I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} \subseteq[1,2, \ldots, t p]$. (it can be the empty interval). Since $j_{1}=\left[\frac{n+d_{1}}{p}\right]+1$
we see that $\left|I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}\right| \leq p$. Then by the triangle inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \cdots e_{n+d_{\ell}}\right| \leq \mid \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{t} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{t} \cdots \sum_{\substack{j_{\ell}=1 \\
I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} \neq \emptyset}}^{t} \\
& \left.\sum_{\substack{n \in I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} \\
p \nmid f_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right) \ldots j_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}}\left(\frac{f_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right)}{p}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{f_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}{p}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& +\left|\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{t} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{t} \cdots \sum_{\substack{j_{\ell}=1 \\
I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell} \neq \emptyset \emptyset} \neq \emptyset_{p \mid f_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right) \cdots j_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}}} \sum_{\substack{n \in j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell} \\
\hline}} 1\right| \\
& \leq \mid \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{t} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{t} \cdots \sum_{\substack{j_{\ell}=1 \\
I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell} \neq \emptyset} \neq \emptyset}}^{t} \\
& \left.\sum_{\substack{n \in I_{j_{1}, j_{2}}, \ldots, j_{\ell} \\
p \nmid j_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right) \cdots f_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}}\left(\frac{f_{j_{1}}\left(n+d_{1}\right) \ldots f_{j_{\ell}}\left(n+d_{\ell}\right)}{p}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& +\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{t} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{t} \cdots \sum_{\substack{j_{\ell}=1 \\
I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} \neq \emptyset}}^{t} \ell . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The theorem is trivial for $\ell \geq p^{1 / 2} \log p$. For $\ell<p^{1 / 2} \log p$ by (17) and Lemma 2

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \cdots e_{n+d_{\ell}}\right| & \leq \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{t} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{t} \cdots \sum_{\substack{j_{\ell}=1 \\
I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell} \neq \emptyset} \neq \emptyset}}^{t}\left(9 R \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p+\ell\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{k} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{k} \cdots \sum_{\substack{j_{\ell}=1 \\
I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell} \neq \emptyset} \neq \emptyset}}^{t} 10 R \ell p^{1 / 2} \log p . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to estimate $\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{t} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{t} \cdots \sum_{\substack{j_{\ell}=1 \\ I_{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} \neq \emptyset}}^{t} 1$. It is clear that $j_{1}$ may take $t$ different values. Next we study that for fixed $j_{1}$, how many different values
may $j_{i}$ assume. For the fixed $j_{1}$ we have

$$
j_{1}=\left[\frac{n+d_{1}-1}{p}\right]+1 .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{1}-1 & \leq \frac{n+d_{1}-1}{p}<j_{1} \\
\left(j_{1}-1\right) p & \leq n+d_{1}-1<j_{1} p \\
\left(j_{1}-1\right) p+d_{i}-d_{1} & \leq n+d_{i}-1<j_{1} p+d_{i}-d_{1} \\
j_{1}-1+\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p} & \leq \frac{n+d_{i}-1}{p}<j_{1}+\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p} \\
j_{1}-1+\left[\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p}\right] & \leq\left[\frac{n+d_{i}-1}{p}\right] \leq j_{1}+\left[\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p}\right] \\
j_{1}+\left[\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p}\right] & \leq\left[\frac{n+d_{i}-1}{p}\right]+1 \leq j_{1}+1+\left[\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p}\right] \\
j_{1}+\left[\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p}\right] & \leq j_{i} \leq j_{1}+1+\left[\frac{d_{i}-d_{1}}{p}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that for fixed $j_{1}$ each $j_{i}(2 \leq i \leq \ell)$ may assume at most 2 different values. Thus by (18)

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{M} e_{n+d_{1}} e_{n+d_{2}} \cdots e_{n+d_{\ell}}\right| \leq 10 R \ell t 2^{\ell-1} p^{1 / 2} \log p
$$

which completes the proof.
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